ON THE PERTURBATION ALGEBRA

J. CHUANG AND A. LAZAREV

Abstract. We introduce a certain differential graded bialgebra, neither commutative nor cocommutative, that governs perturbations of a differential on complexes supplied with an abstract Hodge decomposition. This leads to a conceptual treatment of the Homological Perturbation Lemma and its multiplicative version. We discuss an application to A_∞ algebras.

arXiv:1703.05296v1 [math.QA] 15 Mar 2017

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Notation and conventions 2
2. Category of abstract Hodge decompositions 2
3. The perturbation algebra 4
3.1. Definition and first properties 4
3.2. Abstract Perturbation Lemma and its consequences 5
3.3. Minimal models for A_∞ algebras 8
3.4. Gauge equivalence and the decomposition theorem 9
References 10

1. Introduction

The Homological Perturbation Lemma is a kind of a homotopy transfer theorem: it allows one to transfer a perturbed differential of a chain complex onto another one, that is a strong deformation retract of it, see [2] and references therein. One interesting feature of the Lemma is that it gives explicit universal formulae, as formal power series in the original strong deformation retract data. We feel that the existing proofs of these formulae, particularly those involving multiplicative structures, are somewhat unsatisfactory and ad hoc and a more conceptual treatment is desirable. Motivated by this goal, we introduce the Perturbation Algebra A, that is a certain resolution of the ground field. It should be noted that in [6] the term 'Perturbation Algebra' is reserved for a different, though closely related, algebra, that is not differential graded (the differential is viewed as its element rather than an operator). It is possible that our results could be recovered in the framework of [6], but we found that working with the smaller differential graded object is more natural.

The category of modules over A is, essentially, the category of strong homotopy retractions (in fact, we find it more convenient to work with an equivalent notion of an abstract Hodge decomposition) with a perturbed differential.This category is non-symmetric monoidal, which corresponds to a certain comultiplication on A turning it into a noncommutative and noncocommutative bialgebra. We introduce a certain localization $\hat{A}$ of A which turns out also to be a bialgebra. This bialgebra, despite having a simple presentation by very few generators and relations, exhibits a surprisingly rich structure; it is a central object of study in this paper. We discover a certain remarkable endomorphism of $\hat{A}$ that leads to a strong version of the Homological Perturbation Lemma, as well as its multiplicative version. We also discuss an application to minimal models of A_∞ algebras.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18D50, 17B55, 17B66, 16E45.

Key words and phrases. Abstract Hodge decomposition, differential graded algebra, Maurer-Cartan element. This work was partially supported by EPSRC grants EP/N015452/1 and EP/N016505/1.

1.1. **Notation and conventions.** We work in the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (dg) vector spaces over a fixed ground field $\mathbf{k}$; an object in this category is a pair (V, d_V) where V is a graded vector space and d_V is a differential on it; it will always be assumed to be of cohomological type (so it raises the degree of a homogeneous element). Unmarked tensor products will be understood to be taken over $\mathbf{k}$; we will abbreviate 'differential graded' to 'dg'. The *suspension* of a graded vector space V is the graded vector space ΣV so that $(\Sigma V)^i = V^{i+1}$.

The graded $\mathbf{k}$-linear dual to a graded vector space V is denoted by V^*; it is a *pseudo-compact* vector space, i.e. an inverse limit of finite-dimensional (graded) vector spaces. Pseudo-compact vector spaces are endowed with the topology of the inverse limit and form a category where maps are required to be continuous. The category of pseudo-compact vector spaces is anti-equivalent to that of (discrete) vector spaces via linear duality; we refer to [12] for a short account on pseudo-compact spaces. All results and constructions of this paper are valid when we work in the underlying category of pseudo-compact graded vector spaces.

A dg algebra is an associative monoid in the dg category of dg vector spaces with respect to the standard monoidal structure given by the tensor product. A dg vector space V is a (left) dg module over a dg algebra A if it is supplied with a dg map $A \otimes V \to V$ satisfying the usual conditions of associativity and unitality.

A Maurer-Cartan (MC) element in a dg algebra A is an element x of cohomological degree 1 satisfying the MC equation $d(x) + x^2 = 0$. It determines a twisted differential in A by the formula $d^x(a) := d(a) + [a, x]$ for $a \in A$; the MC equation ensures that $(d^x)^2 = 0$. We will denote the dg algebra A supplied with the differential d^x by A^x. The MC element x also determines a twisting of any dg A-module (M, d_M); namely the differential $d + x$ (where x is viewed as an operator on M) makes M into a left dg A^x-module as could easily be checked. The group $A_0^\times$ of invertible elements γ of A_0 acts on the set of MC elements in A by via the gauge action: $\gamma \cdot x := \gamma x \gamma^{-1} - d(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of an abstract Hodge decomposition and introduce a dg bialgebra governing this structure. In Section 3 the Perturbation Algebra, our main object of study, is introduced and studied in some detail; this is, in fact a dg bialgebra, neither commutative nor cocommutative. We formulate and prove an abstract version of the Homological Perturbation Lemma, of which the ordinary Homological Perturbation Lemma is a corollary. We further strengthen Homological Perturbation Lemma by proving that the perturbed differential is actually conjugate to a transferred one in a suitable sense. Finally, we discuss how this strengthened version leads to a form of Decomposition Theorem for A_∞ algebras.

2. Category of abstract Hodge decompositions

In this section we will recall the definition of an abstract Hodge decomposition on a dg vector space; this terminology was introduced in [1].

Definition 2.1. An abstract Hodge decomposition on a dg vector space (V, d) is a pair of operators s, t on V

$$t : V \to V \quad \text{and} \quad s : V \to V,$$

of even and odd degrees, respectively, such that

(1) $s^2 = 0$,
(2) $sd + ds = 1 - t$,
(3) $dt = td$,
(4) $t^2 = t$,
(5) $st = ts = 0$,

We will also refer to the quadruple (V, d, s, t) (or the triple (V, s, t) if d is clear from the context) as an abstract Hodge decomposition and use the shorthand HD for it.

Remark 2.2. The notion of an HD could be reformulated as follows. Let $U := \mathrm{Im}(t)$ be the image of the operator t. It follows from the identity (3) above that the differential on V restricts

to a differential on U and that the projection $t : V \to U$ and the inclusion $i : U \hookrightarrow V$ determine chain maps between the dg spaces U and V. The conditions (2) and (4) above imply that $t \circ i = \mathrm{id}_U$ and that $i \circ t$ is chain homotopic to the identity on V. Thus, the pair of dg vector spaces V and U constitute a strong deformation retraction data. The condition $s^2 = 0$, the so-called *side condition*, can always be imposed at no cost. It is more convenient for us to view the above as the structure on a single space V.

Let (V, t_V, s_V) and (W, t_W, s_W) be dg vector spaces with the choice of an HD. Then the operators $t \otimes t$ and $s \otimes 1 + t \otimes s$ determine an HD on the vector space $V \otimes W$. A straightforward inspection shows that this turns the category of HDs into a (non-symmetric) monoidal category. We will denote this category by $\mathcal{H}$.

The content of an HD is captured by a certain dg bialgebra. The following result is obvious.

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be an associative algebra spanned by three vectors s, t and 1, with the relations $st = ts = s^2 = 0$ and $t^2 = t$ and the differential $d(s) = 1 - t$, $d(t) = 0$. There is a coassociative coproduct $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ so that $\Delta(t) = t \otimes t$ and $\Delta(s) = s \otimes 1 + t \otimes s$ and a counit $\epsilon : H \to \mathbf{k} : \epsilon(t) = 1, \epsilon(s) = 0$; these turn H into a bialgebra. Furthermore, the monoidal categories of dg H-modules and of HDs are isomorphic.*

□

Remark 2.4. Note that the bialgebra H lacks an antipode since the grouplike element t is a zero divisor, hence non-invertible.

Definition 2.5. We will call a monoid in $\mathcal{H}$ a *multiplicative* HD.

Recall that given two endomorphisms $f, g \in \mathrm{End}(A)$ of an associative algebra A, a linear map $s : A \to A$ is called an f, g-derivation, if for any $a, b \in A$ we have the 'f, g-Leibniz rule': $s(ab) = s(a)g(b) \pm f(a)s(b)$ where the sign is determined by the standard Koszul rule. Note that $f - g$ is always an f, g-derivation. We can give the following characterization of multiplicative HDs:

Proposition 2.6. *A dg algebra A is a multiplicative HD if its underlying dg vector space is supplied with operators s and t satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.1, and such that t is an algebra endomorphism of A and s is a t, id-derivation.*

Proof. The condition that A is a multiplicative HD is equivalent to the multiplication map $A \otimes A \to A$ being an H-module map. Taking into account the comultiplication in H we see that the latter is equivalent to t being an algebra map and s being a t, id-derivation. □

Example 2.7. Let (V, s, t) be an HD. Then the tensor algebra $T(V) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes n}$ is a multiplicative HD where t is extended to an algebra endomorphism $t_{T(V)}$ of $T(V)$ component-wise, $s_{T(V)}$ is extended from s by the $t_{T(V)}, \mathrm{id}$-Leibniz rule and $d_{T(V)}$ is extended from d by the ordinary Leibniz rule. Indeed, the identities

$$s_{T(V)}^2 = t_{T(V)} s_{T(V)} = s_{T(V)} t_{T(V)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{T(V)}^2 = t_{T(V)}$$

are immediate. To see that $d_{T(V)}(s_{T(V)}) = \mathrm{id} - t_{t(V)}$, note that both sides of the last identity are id, t-derivations and so it is sufficient to check on V where it is clear.

Remark 2.8. We considered the notion of an abstract Hodge decomposition in the category of dg vector spaces; one can define it, completely analogously, in the category of pseudo-compact dg vector spaces. The category of pseudo-compact dg vector spaces is symmetric monoidal, and a monoid in this category is, essentially, the same as a dg coalgebra by linear duality. There is, then, the notion of a pseudo-compact multiplicative HD analogous to Definition 2.5. We will skip the (rather obvious) details.

3. The perturbation algebra

3.1. **Definition and first properties.** We will now introduce our main object of study: the perturbation dg algebra A as well as its localization $\hat{A}$.

Definition 3.1. The perturbation algebra A is the associative dg bialgebra generated by the elements s, t and x of degrees $-1, 0$ and 1 respectively, subject to the relations

$$s^2 = st = ts = 0$$
$$t^2 = t.$$

The differential on A is specified by

$$d(s) = 1 - t; \quad d(t) = 0$$
$$d(x) = -x^2.$$

The comultiplication on A is specified by

$$\Delta(s) = s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t;$$
$$\Delta(t) = t \otimes t;$$
$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x.$$

Additionally, the bialgebra A possesses a counit:

$$\epsilon(s) = \epsilon(x) = 0;$$
$$\epsilon(t) = 1.$$

Thus, A is obtained from H by freely adjoining the primitive element x satisfying the MC equation $dx = -x^2$. Just as H, A does not possess an antipode. Nevertheless, there exists a (unique, involutory) algebra anti-automorphism $\rho : A \to A$ fixing s, t and x; using ρ one can define an A-module structure on a $\mathbf{k}$-linear dual to an A-module.

The algebra A governs 'perturbations' of the differential on a given dg vector space supplied with the choice of an HD. More precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (V, d_V, s, t) be an HD and x be an endomorphism of V of degree 1 and such that $(d_V + x)^2 = 0$. Then V is a dg module over A; conversely any dg A-module is of the kind just described.*

Proof. We just need to note that the equation $(d_V + x)^2 = 0$ is equivalent to $[d_V, x] + x^2 = 0$. Thus, viewing the operators x, s and t as elements in $\mathrm{End}(V)$ determines a map of dg algebras $A \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ where $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is supplied with the differential $[?, d_V]$. This map is equivalent to giving V the structure of an A-module. □

We also have a multiplicative version of this result; the proof is obvious.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(V, d_V s, t)$ be a multiplicative HD and x be a derivation of V of degree 1 and such that $(d_V + x)^2 = 0$. Then V is a dg algebra over A (i.e. the multiplication map $V \otimes V \to V$ is a dg A-module map); conversely any dg A-algebra is of the kind just described.*

□

We will also be interested in the dg algebra $\hat{A}$ obtained from A by formally inverting the elements $1 + sx$ and $1 + xs$. This turns out to be a dg bialgebra (despite $1 + sx$ and $1 + xs$ not being grouplike). The dg bialgebra $\hat{A}$ could be realized as a dg subbialgebra of the completion of A at the two-sided ideal generated by x. The inverses of $1 + sx$ and $a + xs$ are represented by power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n(sx)^n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n(xs)^n$ respectively. We will denote these inverses by $\alpha := (1 + sx)^{-1}$ and $\beta := (1 + xs)^{-1}$.

3.2. **Abstract Perturbation Lemma and its consequences.** We will now delve a little deeper in the structure of the algebra $\hat{A}$, and, in particular, prove an abstract version of the Homological Perturbation Lemma.

Note the following useful identities, to be used repeatedly in calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha s = s\beta, \quad x\alpha = \beta x, \\ &s\alpha = s, \quad \beta s = s, \\ &t\alpha = t, \quad \beta t = t, \\ &\beta\alpha = \alpha + \beta - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

These are all obvious except, perhaps, the last one. To deduce it, note that $\alpha - 1 = -sx\alpha$ and $\beta - 1 = -\beta xs$ from which it follows that $(\beta - 1)(\alpha - 1) = 0$ or $\beta\alpha = \alpha + \beta - 1$. Furthermore, the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\alpha) &= (\alpha t - 1)x\alpha, \\ d(\beta) &= \beta x(1 - t\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The first formula above is given by the calculation

$$d(\alpha) = -\alpha d(\alpha^{-1})\alpha = -\alpha((1-t)x - s(-x^2))\alpha = \alpha(t - \alpha^{-1})x\alpha,$$

and the second formula by a similar calculation, or by using the anti-involution ρ.

Proposition 3.4. *There exists a unique algebra automorphism*

$$\phi : \hat{A} \to \hat{A}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s) &= \alpha s = s\beta, \\ \phi(t) &= \alpha t\beta, \quad \phi(x) = -x, \\ \phi(\alpha) &= \alpha^{-1}, \quad \phi(\beta) = \beta^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

It is an involution commuting with ρ. Moreover, there is a dg bialgebra structure on $\hat{A}$ extending that on A; with this structure ϕ is a bialgebra automorphism.

Proof. If such an endomorphism taking the stated values exists, it is clearly an involutory automorphism that commutes with ρ. To check that such a ϕ is a well-defined algebra endomorphism, we compute, using (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha s)(\alpha s) &= (\alpha s)(s\beta) = 0, \\ (\alpha t\beta)^2 &= \alpha t(\alpha + \beta - 1)t\beta = \alpha t\beta + \alpha t\beta - \alpha t\beta \\ &= \alpha t\beta, \\ (\alpha s)(\alpha t\beta) &= \alpha st\beta = 0, \\ (\alpha t\beta)(s\beta) &= \alpha ts\beta = 0, \\ \alpha^{-1}\phi(\alpha^{-1}) &= \alpha^{-1}[1 + (\alpha s)(-x)] \\ &= \alpha^{-1} - sx = 1, \\ \phi(\beta^{-1})\beta^{-1} &= [1 + (-x)(s\beta)]\beta^{-1} \\ &= \beta^{-1} - xs = 1. \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the comultiplication, let us embed $\hat{A}$ into $\tilde{A}$, the completion of A by the two-sided ideal generated by x. Note that $\tilde{A}$ is a (topological) dg bialgebra; it is topologically generated by s, t and x and the diagonal $\Delta : \tilde{A} \to \tilde{A}\hat{\otimes}\tilde{A}$ is continuous. Let us check compatibility of ϕ and Δ in $\tilde{A}$; it suffices to check it on s, t and x.

Since x and $\phi(x) = -x$ are both primitive, we just need to show that $\phi(t) = \alpha t\beta$ is group-like and $\phi(s) = \alpha s$ is skew-primitive with respect to the idempotent $\alpha t\beta$, i.e.

$$\Delta(\alpha s) = \alpha s \otimes 1 + \alpha t\beta \otimes \alpha s. \tag{3.3}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\alpha^{-1})(\alpha t \otimes \alpha t) &= \Delta(1+sx)(\alpha t \otimes \alpha t)\\ &= [(1+sx)\otimes 1 + s\otimes x - tx\otimes s + t\otimes sx](\alpha t\otimes \alpha t)\\ &= t\otimes \alpha t + t\otimes sx\alpha t\\ &= t\otimes (1+sx)\alpha t\\ &= t\otimes t.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that αt is grouplike. Similarly $t\beta$ is grouplike and then $\alpha t\beta = (\alpha t)(t\beta)$ is likewise grouplike. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\alpha^{-1})(\alpha s\otimes 1 + \alpha t\beta\otimes \alpha s) &= [(1+sx)\otimes 1 + s\otimes x - tx\otimes s + t\otimes sx](\alpha s\otimes 1 + \alpha t\beta\otimes \alpha s)\\ &= s\otimes 1 + t\beta\otimes \alpha s + tx\alpha s\otimes s + t\beta\otimes sx\alpha s\\ &= s\otimes 1 + t\beta\otimes s + tx\alpha s\otimes s\\ &= s\otimes 1 + t\otimes s.\end{aligned}$$

This implies (3.3) and shows that ϕ is a coalgebra endomorphism of $\tilde{A}$. Thus, $\phi\hat{\otimes}\phi\circ\Delta = \Delta\circ\phi$ and $\Delta = \phi\hat{\otimes}\phi\circ\Delta\circ\phi^{-1}$ on $\tilde{A}$. This allows one to restrict Δ on $\hat{A}$ by $\Delta(\alpha) := (\phi\otimes\phi)\Delta(\alpha^{-1})$ and $\Delta(\beta) := (\phi\otimes\phi)\Delta(\beta^{-1})$. □

The endomorphism ϕ is, however, not a dg endomorphism of $\hat{A}$. Consider $\hat{A}^x$, the algebra $\hat{A}$ supplied with the twisted differential $d^x = d + [x, ?]$. The following result is an abstract version of the Homological Perturbation Lemma.

Theorem 3.5.

(1) *We have the following equation of endomorphisms of $\hat{A}$:*

$$\phi d = d^x\phi. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus ϕ defines isomorphisms of dg bialgebras:

$$\phi : \hat{A} \to \hat{A}^x,$$

$$\phi : \hat{A}^x \to \hat{A}.$$

(2) *The map $tat \mapsto \alpha(tat)\beta$ determines an isomorphism of dg bialgebras*

$$(t\hat{A}t, d + tx\alpha t) \xrightarrow{\cong} ((\alpha t\beta)\hat{A}(\alpha t\beta), d^x)$$

Proof. Both $\phi d\phi$ and d^x are derivations of $\hat{A}$, so it suffices to check (3.4) on the generators s, t and x. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(d(x)) &= \phi(-x^2)\\ &= -(\phi(x))^2\\ &= -x^2,\end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}d^x(\phi(x)) &= -(-(-x)^2) + [x, -x]\\ &= -x^2\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d^x(\phi(s)) &= d^x(\alpha s) \\ &= (\alpha t - 1)x\alpha s + \alpha(1-t) + x\alpha s + \alpha s x \\ &= \alpha t\beta x s + \alpha(1 + sx - t) \\ &= 1 - \alpha t(1 - \beta x s) \\ &= 1 - \alpha t\beta \\ &= \phi(d(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} d^x(\phi(t)) &= d^x(1 - \phi(d(s))) \\ &= d^x(1 - d^x(\phi(s))) \\ &= 0 = \phi(d(t)). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the first claim. To prove the second, we note first that, since t and $\alpha t\beta$ are grouplike idempotents, the spaces $t\hat{A}t$ and $\alpha t\beta\hat{A}\alpha t\beta$ are (graded) bialgebras. To see that they are multiplicatively isomorphic, let $a, b \in \hat{A}$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha t a t\beta)(\alpha t a t\beta) =& \alpha t a (t\beta\alpha t) a t\beta \\ =& (\alpha t a)(t b \beta) \end{aligned}$$

as required. Next, since $d^x(\alpha t\beta) = 0$, the vector space and $\alpha t\beta\hat{A}\alpha t\beta$ is closed with respect to d^x respectively and thus, is a dg algebra. Similarly, $t\hat{A}t$ is closed with respect to $d + tx\alpha t$. The following calculation shows that the actions of d^x and $d + tx\alpha t$ are compatible (and thus, $d + tx\alpha t$ squares to zero in $t\hat{A}t$). Let $a \in \hat{A}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} d^x(\alpha t a t\beta) &= d(\alpha t a t\beta) + [x, \alpha t a t\beta] \\ &= (\alpha t - 1)x\alpha t a t\beta + (-1)^{|a|}\alpha t a t\beta x(1 - t\beta) + \alpha t d(a) t\beta + x\alpha t a t\beta - (-1)^{|a|}\alpha t a t\beta x \\ &= \alpha t(da + x\alpha t a - (-1)^{|a|} a t\beta x) t\beta \\ &= \alpha t(da + [a, tx\alpha t]) t\beta \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Corollary 3.6.

(1) *The element $\xi := tx\alpha t (= t\beta x t) \in \hat{A}$ is an MC element in $\hat{A}$.*
(2) *It is t-primitive, i.e. $\Delta(\xi) = \xi \otimes t + t \otimes \xi$.*

Proof. We saw that the twisted differential $d^\xi : a \mapsto d_A(a) + [\xi a]$ squares to zero in $t\hat{A}t$ and it follows that ξ is an MC element in the dg algebra $t\hat{A}t$. Since $t\hat{A}t$ is a retract of $\hat{A}$, the element ξ is also MC in $\hat{A}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\xi) &= \Delta(tx\alpha t) \\ &= (t \otimes t)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)(\alpha t \otimes \alpha t) \\ &= tx\alpha t \otimes t + tx\alpha t \otimes t \\ &= \xi \otimes t + t \otimes \xi. \end{aligned}$$

□

The ordinary Homological Perturbation Lemma is a consequence of the abstract one:

Corollary 3.7.

(1) *Let (V, d_V, s, t) be an HD and $x \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ is such that $[d, x] + x^2 = 0$. Additionally, we assume that the operators $1 + sx$ and $1 + xs$ act invertibly on V. Then $(V, d_V + x, \alpha s, \alpha t\beta)$ is also an HD. If (V, d_V, s, t) is a multiplicative HD and x was a derivation, then $(V, d_V + x, \alpha s, \alpha t\beta)$ is also a multiplicative HD.*

(2) *The operator* $\alpha t \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ *restricts to an isomorphism of dg* $t\hat{A}t$*-modules, which is multiplicative if the HD* (V, d_V, s, t) *is multiplicative:*

$$\alpha t : (tV, d_V + tx\alpha t) \to (\alpha t\beta V, d_V + x),$$

where $t\hat{A}t$ *acts on* $(\alpha t\beta V, d_V + x)$ *via the dg algebra map* $t\hat{A}t \to (\alpha t\beta)\hat{A}(\alpha t\beta)$ *constructed in Theorem 3.5. The inverse isomorphism is given by* $t\beta \in \mathrm{End}(V)$*:*

$$t\beta : (\alpha t\beta V, d_V + x) \to (tV, d_V + tx\alpha t).$$

Proof. The vector space V supplied with the perturbed differential $d + x$ is a dg module over the twisted perturbation algebra (A, d^x). Therefore the composite map

$$H \hookrightarrow (\hat{A}, d) \xrightarrow{\phi} (\hat{A}, d^x)$$

makes V into an H-module (an H-algebra in the multiplicative case) with elements $t, s \in H$ acting on V as operators $\alpha t\beta$ and $s\alpha$ respectively. This proves statement (1). For statement (2) note first that since $d(t) = 0$ in $\hat{A}$, the differential d_V restricts to $tV \hookrightarrow V$, the image of the projector t, making it a dg vector space. Similarly, $\alpha t\beta V$ is a dg vector space with respect to the perturbed differential $d_V + x$. In the multiplicative case both tV and $\alpha t\beta V$ are dg algebras. Statement (2) above now follows form the corresponding statement of Theorem 3.5. The claim about the inverse isomorphism also follows, taking into account the identity $t\alpha\beta t = t$. □

Remark 3.8. The Homological Perturbation Lemma is particularly useful when (V, s, t) is a *harmonious* HD, i.e. when tV carries the homology of V. In that case the differential d_V vanishes in tV and we have an isomorphism of dg vector spaces

$$(tV, tx\alpha t) \cong (\alpha t\beta d_V, V + x).$$

3.3. **Minimal models for A_∞ algebras.** We will now outline a standard application of the Homological Perturbation Lemma, cf. for example [4], [11].

Recall that an A_∞ algebra structure on a dg vector space (V, d_V) is a continuous derivation $m = m_2 + m_3 + \ldots$ of the completed tensor algebra $\hat{T}\Sigma V^*$, the free local pseudo-compact algebra on the pseudo-compact vector space V^*; here m_i is the component of m that raises the tensor degree by $i - 1$. The derivation m is determined by its restriction on ΣV^* that we will also denote by m. Thus, $m : \Sigma V^* \to \hat{T}\Sigma V^*$ and $m_n : \Sigma V^* \to (\Sigma V^*)^{\hat{\otimes} n}$. Note that this definition is equivalent by dualization to the, perhaps, more familiar one given in terms of multilinear maps $V^{\otimes n} \to V$.

Furthermore, an A_∞ map between two A_∞ algebras (V, d_V, m_V) and (U, d_U, m_U) is a continuous algebra map $f = f_1 + f_2 + \ldots : \hat{T}\Sigma U^* \to \hat{T}\Sigma V^*$ such that $f \circ (d_U + m_U) = (d_V + m_V) \circ f$; here f_n is the component of f raising the tensor degree by $n - 1$. The map f it is called a *weak equivalence* if $f_1 : \Sigma U^* \to \Sigma V^*$ is a quasi-isomorphism; there is also an appropriate notion of a homotopy between two A_∞ maps. We refer to [9, 3] for details on A_∞ algebras.

If V is supplied with an HD then so is V^* and therefore $\hat{T}V^*$, cf. Example 2.7; there the definition was given for an ordinary (non-completed) tensor algebra, but the completed case is similar, cf. Remark 2.8. Additionally, the action of A on $\hat{T}V^*$ extends to an action of $\hat{A}$ (for this the completeness of the tensor algebra is necessary).

If the underlying space of an A_∞ algebra, has vanishing differential, the corresponding A_∞ algebra is called *minimal*. The well-known result of Kadeishvili [7] states that there for any A_∞ algebra (V, d_V, m_V) is an A_∞ structure on $H^*(V)$ that is weakly equivalent to (V, d_V, m_V). More recently, many proofs of this important results appeared, particularly those giving explicit formulas for minimal models. Perhaps the most straightforward and compact way to obtain these formulas is through the Homological Perturbation Lemma. The following result was essentially formulated in [4].

Theorem 3.9. *Let (V, d, m) be an A_∞ algebra and suppose that V is supplied with operators s, t constituting an HD on V, we will denote by $\tilde{t}, \tilde{s}$ the corresponding operators giving a multiplicative HD on $\hat{T}\Sigma V^*$ (cf. Example 2.7 for the construction in the discrete case). Then, unwrapping the (pseudo-compact analogue of) Corollary 3.7, we get:*

(1) *The dg space tV supports the structure of an A_∞ algebra given by*

$$m_{t(V)} := \tilde{t}m(1 + \tilde{s}m)^{-1}\tilde{t};$$

(2) *There are A_∞ maps between (V, m) and $tV, m_{t(V)}$ represented by*

$$(1 + \tilde{s}m)^{-1}\tilde{t} : \hat{T}\Sigma(tV)^* \to \hat{T}\Sigma V^*;$$
$$\tilde{t}(1 + m\tilde{s})^{-1} : \hat{T}\Sigma V^* \to \hat{T}\Sigma(tV)^*,$$

such that

$$\tilde{t}(1 + m\tilde{s})^{-1}) \circ (1 + \tilde{s}m)^{-1}\tilde{t} = \mathrm{id}_{tV} \quad \textit{and}$$
$$\tilde{t}(1 + \tilde{s}m)^{-1}) \circ (1 + m\tilde{s})^{-1}\tilde{t} = \mathrm{id} - \tilde{s}(1 + \tilde{s}m)^{-1}.$$

This is a compact version of the minimal model theorem for A_∞ algebras; writing the corresponding formulas in components leads to summations over certain decorated planar trees as explained in the papers of Markl and Huebschmann cited above.

3.4. **Gauge equivalence and the decomposition theorem.** Let V be a dg $\hat{A}$ module, in other words a dg vector space with an HD and an MC operator $x \in \mathrm{End}(V) : [d, x] = -x^2$, such that both $1 + sx$ and $1 + xs$ act invertibly on V. We saw in Corollary 3.7 that dg spaces $(tV, d_V + t\alpha xt)$ and $(\alpha t\beta V, d_V + x)$ are isomorphic. Note that since $t\alpha xt$ is an MC element (either in $\mathrm{End}(V)$ or $\hat{A}$, see Corollary 3.6), the operator $d_V + t\alpha xt$ squares to zero on the whole of V. It makes sense to ask, therefore, whether the operators $d_V + t\alpha xt$ and $d_V + x$ are conjugate or, equivalently, that the MC elements x and $t\alpha xt$ are gauge equivalent in $\mathrm{End}(V)$. This turns our to be true (see Corollary 3.12 below), in fact we will show that these elements are gauge equivalent in $\hat{A}$ (Theorem 3.11 below). First we will introduce a certain invertible element in $\hat{A}$ closely related to the idempotent $\alpha t\beta$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $g := (1 + t - \alpha t)\beta$. Then g is invertible and*

$$g^{-1} = \phi(g) = \beta^{-1}(1 - t + \alpha t).$$

Moreover, the following identity holds: $(\alpha t\beta)g(\alpha t\beta) = \alpha t\beta$.

Proof. Using Proposition 3.4 we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(g) &= \phi(1 + t - \alpha t)\phi(\beta) \\ &= (1 + \alpha t\beta - t\beta)\beta^{-1} \\ &= \beta^{-1}(1 - t + \alpha t).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, taking into account the identity $t\alpha = t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - t + \alpha t)(1 + t - \alpha t) &= 1 + t - \alpha t - t - t - t\alpha t + \alpha t + \alpha t - \alpha t\alpha t \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Then also $\phi(g)g = \phi(g\phi(g)) = \phi(1) = 1$. To prove the stated relationship we compute :

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha t\beta g\alpha t\beta &= \alpha t\beta(1 + t - \alpha t)\beta\alpha t\beta \\ &= (\alpha t\beta + \alpha t - \alpha t(\alpha + \beta - 1)t)\beta\alpha t\beta \\ &= \alpha t\alpha t\beta\alpha t\beta \\ &= \alpha t\beta\alpha t\beta \\ &= \alpha t\beta.\end{aligned}$$

□

We will now prove the promised gauge equivalence.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $g \in \hat{A}$ be defined as above. Then the MC elements x and ξ are gauge equivalent via g, i.e. $gxg^{-1} - d(g)g^{-1} = \xi$.*

Proof. Let $k := 1 + t - \alpha t$; we have seen that $g = k\beta$. We have, taking into account (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned}\beta \cdot x &= \beta x \beta^{-1} - d(\beta)\beta^{-1} \\ &= \beta x \beta^{-1} - \beta x(1 - t\beta)\beta^{-1} \\ &= \beta x t.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}g \cdot x &= k \cdot (\beta x t) \\ &= [k\beta x t - d(k)]k^{-1} \\ &= [(1 + t - \alpha t)\beta x t + (\alpha t - 1)x\alpha t](1 - t + \alpha t) \\ &= t\beta x t = \xi.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.12. *Let (V, d_V, s, t, x) be a dg $\hat{A}$-module. Then the following equality of operators on V holds:*

$$(1 + t - \alpha t)\beta(d_v + x)((1 + t - \alpha t)\beta)^{-1} = d_v + t\alpha x t.$$

Proof. The claimed equation is equivalent to

$$(1 + t - \alpha t)\beta x((1 + t - \alpha t)\beta)^{-1} - d_V(1 + t - \alpha t)(1 + t - \alpha t)^{-1} = d_v + t\alpha x t$$

which holds in $\mathrm{End}(V)$ because it holds in $\hat{A}$. □

This result is closely related to the Decomposition Theorem for A_∞ algebras, [8], [10]. The latter result is a strengthened version of the minimal model theorem; for an A_∞ algebra (V, d_V, m) with a choice of an HD, it gives an A_∞ *isomorphism* (as opposed to merely a weak equivalence) between (V, d_V, m) and an A_∞ algebra that is a direct sum of one supported on tV and a linear contractible one supported on $(t-1)V$ (a linear contractible A_∞ algebra has acyclic differential and vanishing higher products).

Theorem 3.11 provides a version of the decomposition theorem for A_∞ algebras as we will now explain. Let (V, d, m) be an A_∞ algebra with an HD as above. Recall that we have also operators $\tilde{s}$ and $\tilde{t}$ giving a multiplicative HD on $\hat{T}\Sigma V^*$. Consider the operator $m_{t(V)} = \tilde{t}m(1 + \tilde{s}m)^{-1}\tilde{t} \in \mathrm{End}(\hat{T}\Sigma V^*)$. It is, of course, not a derivation of $\hat{T}\Sigma V^*$, however, it is a $\tilde{t}$-derivation, i.e. for $a, b \in T\hat{\Sigma}V^*$ we have $m_{tV}(ab) = m_{tV}a\tilde{t}(b) + (-1)^{|a|}\tilde{t}(a)m_{tV}(b)$. It could, therefore, be viewed as a modified version of an A_∞ algebra structure on (V, d) analogous to the sum of an A_∞ structure on tV and a linear contractible one on $(t-1)V$. It is equivalent to the original m via the gauge transformation $g = (1 + \tilde{t} - (1 + \tilde{s}m)^{-1}\tilde{t})(1 + m\tilde{s})^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(\hat{T}\Sigma V*)$. Of course, g is *not* a multiplicative automorphism of $\hat{T}\Sigma V^*$.

References

[1] J. Chuang, A. Lazarev, *Feynman diagrams and minimal models for operadic algebras.* J. London Math. Soc. (2) 81 (2010) no. 2, 317–337. `arXiv:0802.3507`

[2] M. Crainic, *On the perturbation lemma, and deformations.* 2004, `arXiv:math/0403266`

[3] A.Hamilton, A. Lazarev, *Cohomology theories for homotopy algebras and noncommutative geometry* , Algebraic and Geometric Topology, 9, 2009, 1503–1583

[4] J. Huebschmann, *On the construction of A_∞-structures*, Georgian Math. J. 17 (2010), no. 1, 161-202

[5] J. Huebschmann, T. Kadeishvili, *Small models for chain algebras*, Math. Z. 207 (1991), no. 2, 245-280.

[6] D. W. Barnes, L. A. Lambe. *A fixed point approach to homological perturbation theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 112(3), 881–892, 1991.

[7] T. V. Kadeishvili, *The algebraic structure in the homology of an $A(\infty)$-algebra.* (Russian) Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR 108 (1982), no. 2, 249-252, 1983.

[8] H. Kajiura, *Noncommutative homotopy algebras associated with open strings.* Rev.Math.Phys. 19, 2007, 1-99. arXiv:math.QA/0306332.
[9] B. Keller, *Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 3 (2001), no. 1, 1-35.
[10] K. Lefèvre-Hasegawa, *Sur les A-infini catégories*, arXiv:math.CT/0310337.
[11] M. Markl. *Transferring A_∞ (strongly homotopy associative) structures*, Proceedings of the 25th Winter School Geometry and Physics. Palermo: Universita degli Studi di Palermo, 2006. Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico, 2006, 139-151.
[12] M. Van den Bergh, *Calabi-Yau algebras and superpotentials,* Selecta Math. (N.S.) 21 (2015), no. 2, 555-603.

Centre for Mathematical Science, City University, London EC1V 0HB, UK
E-mail address: J.Chuang@city.ac.uk

University of Lancaster, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster, LA1 4YF, UK.
E-mail address: a.lazarev@lancaster.ac.uk